

**Framework of  
TILA INTERNATIONAL MOOT COURT  
COMPETITION ON ENERGY LAWS  
(TIMCCE)**

Rolling Moot Court organised on 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> October annually.

Organised jointly by

**Banasthali Vidyapith**  
([www.banasthali.org](http://www.banasthali.org))

&

**Dr Gopal Energy foundation**  
([www.dgef.in](http://www.dgef.in))

Knowledge partner

**Trans India Law Associates**  
([www.tila.in](http://www.tila.in))

**MOTTO: *Right to Energy!***

**CONTENTS**

Sl. No .	Particulars	Pages
A	About Banasthali Vidyapith	3
B	About Dr. Gopal Energy Foundation	4-5
C	About Trans India law Associates	6
<b>FRAMEWORK OF TIMCCE</b>		
	Preamble	7
Article 1	Team Registration	8-12
Article 2	Registration Fee	12-13
Article 3	language of TIMCCE	13
Article 4	Judges and Eligibility to Judge	13-17
Article 5	Memorials	17-23
Article 6	Oral Round Procedures	24-28
Article 7	Qualifying Competition Procedures	28-32
Article 8	Competition Scoring	32-37
Article 9	Penalties	38-42
Article 10	Awards	42
Article 11	Rules of TIMCCE	42
Article 12	Definitions	43-45
<b>SCHEDULES - FRAMEWORK OF TIMCCE</b>		
	Schedule 1 Countries	46
	Schedule 2 Countries	47
	Schedule 3 Countries	48
	Schedule 4 Competition Schedule (Important dates)	49
	Schedule 5 TIMCCE Prize, Certificates and Awards	50
<b>FORMS - FRAMEWORK OF TIMCCE</b>		
Form 1	Provisional Registration Form for TIMCCE	51-52
Form 2	Team Registration Form for TIMCCE	53-56
Form 3	TIMCCE Registration Fee Waiver Form	57-59
Form 4	Application for Change of Team composition	60-61
Form 5	Judge Confirmation Form	62-63

**ABOUT BANASTHALI VIDYAPITH ([www.banasthali.org](http://www.banasthali.org))**

Banasthali Vidyapith is a fully residential women's higher education institute which offers an integrated education system. It was on October 6, 1935 that Smt. Ratan Shastri and Pandit Hiralal Shastri founded Banasthali to fill up the vacuum caused by the sudden death of their highly talented and promising daughter Shantabai. Over these 75 years Banasthali has developed into a National Centre for women's education. Banasthali's educational programme aims at an all-round development of the student's personality.

The rural ambience of Banasthali Vidyapith is very conducive for promoting the ideology of simple living, and for imbibing and internalizing the values of self-reliance and tolerance. To achieve its objective of 'synthesis of spiritual values and scientific achievements of the East and the West', it has evolved Five-fold Educational Programme (**Panchmukhi Shiksha**) comprising of the following aspects : (i) Physical, (ii) Practical, (iii) Aesthetic, (iv) Moral and (v) Intellectual. This way the students develop an integrated and balanced personality. Banasthali has been accredited by **National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC)** with 'A' Grade (Five star Rating). Banasthali is a recipient of SANTBAL Award in the year 2000 for the services in the field of all round education of women.

Faculty of Law, Jamnalal Bajaj School of Legal Studies under the patronage of Banasthali Vidyapith is recognized by Bar Council of India and UGC. In 2013, Jamnalal Bajaj School of Legal Studies started imparting education to the students in the field of law. The basic notion of our college has been to embrace the education of women and to nurture young female minds to do wonders and deal with legal nuances, while they are equipped with all what they need.

On February 14th 2015, Justice R.M Lodha inaugurated Jamnalal Bajaj School of Legal Studies and laid the foundation of this prestigious institution. JBSLS has been, since then consistent in its effort to help students to encompass within their gamut multifold legal education. The undaunted efforts of the Faculty of Law and avidity seen in this department of Banasthali Vidyapith are unmatched. The students of the law department therefore thrive to live up to the ideals of Banasthali Vidyapith.

## **ABOUT DR. GOPAL ENERGY FOUNDATION ([www.dgef.in](http://www.dgef.in))**

- Dr. Gopal Energy Foundation (D-GEF) is a non-profit premier organization enabling energy Revolution by serving the International Community in the disciplines of power, Petroleum, Gas, Coal, Nuclear and Renewable Energy Sector, with motto of “Energy for all” founded on 15<sup>th</sup> April 2015 with its corporate office at New Delhi, INDIA.

### **D-GEF INITIATIVES**

#### **TILA International Conference on Energy (TICE)**

- International Conference on Energy (ICE) is a flagship, annual event of D-GEF. The Energy conference organized by D-GEF on its foundation day (15<sup>th</sup> April) was attended by 500 plus delegates from across the world.

#### **Skill Development & Capacity Development in Energy Regulations**

- **Electricity Laws- Online Certificate Course** – D-GEF Certificate Courses on Electricity Laws is highly recommended for Law/Management/Engineering Students, Professionals (Lawyers/CA/CS), Professors, Experts Managers/Engineers who are working in Energy PSU’s and Private Energy Companies or anyone who wants to excel in Electricity Laws. This course is primarily based on Electricity Act – 2003.
- Certificate course on Energy Arbitration, Environment Laws, Contract Management in Energy Sector, Solar Policy & Law in India, Petroleum Laws, Coal Laws, Nuclear Energy Laws shall be shortly started.

#### **D-GEF Services to Energy Companies for doing business in India & CSR for Energy Companies**

- D-GEF is your partner in all stages of business development , from formulating entry strategies to setting up local offices and communicating your expansion to core markets. Services at a glance are (1) Business Partner Search (2) Market Research (3) Credit Checks (4) Investment in India (5) Recruitment Services (6) PAN Card application & support for Tax returns (7) GST application and support for returns (8) Business Delegation Services (9) B2B Match making services (10)Corporate & Cultural Events (11) Special business events in India and abroad (12) media and Public relations (13) value added services such as Legal Services, Translation services , Marketing & Advertising, trade fair services , Visas, Clean technologies, environment, renewable energies, CSR, Senior Expert Services, Publications, Training.
- A data base of Independent directors/Women Directors with expertise in Energy sector to enable energy companies to appoint Independent/Women Director in compliance of companies Act – 2013.
- D-GEF is willing to take over the CSR activities of Energy Companies for better monitoring & implementation of projects.

#### **TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy (TIMCCE) @ Banasthali University, JAIPUR, INDIA**

- It is proposed to organize Energy Moot Court Competition every year on 2<sup>nd</sup> October to bring in awareness about Energy Laws amongst the Law candidates across the World.

**Framework of TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy Laws**

**[www.dgef.in](http://www.dgef.in)**

### **Training/Workshop for capacity building in Energy Sector**

- In house Training/Workshop in the corporate office/on site is provided by expert faculty.
- Many customized courses are conducted for capacity building

### **Publications of Technical Documents & Journal**

- **“Energy for all!”** ® ISBN Registered Annual Publication, conference book is published annually containing articles & research papers from Leading Experts, faculty and researchers from premier Management / Law and Engineering Institutions like IITs/National Law Schools/IIMs etc.
- **Conference outcome book** is published every year recommending reforms in Energy Sector to achieve the goal of Energy for all.
- **Guide to Electricity Laws in India** authored by Sh. Raj Singh Niranjana, Energy Law Expert is available through D-GEF.
- **Energy Law Journal (ELJ)** containing all Acts/Rules/Regulations/important notifications and
- **Energy Law Reporter (ELR)** containing all judgments of SERC/JERC/CERC/APTEL/Sec 125 Appeal in Supreme Court is proposed to be published.

### **International Energy Arbitration Centre (IEAC) New Delhi**

- IEAC, New Delhi aims to become world largest Institutional Arbitration Centre for energy companies.
- Negotiation, Mediation, Conciliation, & Arbitration facility is provided through experts in energy sector.

## **ABOUT TRANS INDIA LAW ASSOCIATES ([www.tila.in](http://www.tila.in))**

TILA is a multi-discipline law firm providing a range of legal services, both inbound and outbound. The firm has 1786 Associate Advocates covering all the district of India & 51 Global Partners across the world in order to ensure fully integrated legal services in various jurisdictions.

The Partners and members of the firm are professional having decades of experience. They are in tune with the work culture of international law firms as well as with the expectations of Start ups and corporate clients; and bring in the highest level of professional service keeping up to the firm's mission- "*Salute to Work!*".

**TILA is assisting organisations in following areas:**

- 1. Contract Management (Drafting, Reviewing, Red Lining & Settling Agreements);**
- 2. Legal Representation before Regulators & Courts across the world;**
- 3. Land Acquisition & Real Estate Compliance;**
- 4. Project Finance & Customized Documentation;**
- 5. Joint Venture & SPV in India, including incorporation of Company;**
- 6. Corporate & Secretarial Compliances and Labour & Business Compliances;**
- 7. IPR Protection for organization (Trade Mark/ Copy rights/ Designs/ Patents/GI etc.;**
- 8. Audit Service, Management & Tax Consultancy.**
- 9. Domestic and International Arbitration.**
- 10. Transaction Advisory**

TILA is World's Largest Consultancy firm in Energy Sector and Legal advisor to International Solar Alliance. Centre of Excellence for Legal advice has been established for Solar, Wind, Hydro & Renewable Energy for encouraging Investments in India.

## **Framework of TILA INTERNATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION ON ENERGY LAWS (TIMCCE)**

### **Preamble**

*Recognizing* that after Food, Water and Shelter the 4<sup>th</sup> element of basic necessity is Energy (Urja). It is a key indicator of development of the nation. With more than One Billion sisters & brothers deprived of basic energy for dignified living, there is widespread energy scarcity across the world.

*Recalling* that Mahatma Gandhi said that “*when you do something, always consider what its effect going to be on the last person*”

*Acknowledging* that Raj Singh Niranjani is of the view that **Right to Energy must be Fundamental Right in every constitution of the world & universal declaration on Human Rights must be amended by the United Nations General Assembly to include it as basic human right.**

*Affirming* the above vision of Mahatma Gandhi & Mission of Raj Singh Niranjani to campaign for Right to Energy to be included as fundamental human right of all persons, the TIMCCE was conceived. First TILA International Moot Court Competition (TIMCCE) was organized on 150<sup>th</sup> Birth anniversary of Mahatma Gandhi on 2<sup>nd</sup> October 2019 at Banasthali Vidyapith, India.

*Affirming* further that the Objective of the TIMCCE is to sensitize the community especially law students about the energy sector challenges. There's a necessity for Energy Revolution similar to the green Revolution and Industrial Revolution across the globe in order to remove the curse of energy poverty, consequently improving standard of living of masses and encouraging sustainable energy for all.

*United* in the desire to promote interest in the area of **Energy Laws** and more specifically, to kindle in law student's interest in the expanding field of Energy Laws. The Competition involves collaboration with Judges from courts and tribunals, professors of law/Management/Engineering, Members of Electricity Regulatory Commission, Stakeholders and others including Legal (heads)/General Counsels of Energy Sector. This network makes TIMCCE a realistic simulation of court proceedings. The Competition's moot Proposition addresses fundamental issues of substantive and procedural Energy law.

*TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy Laws* welcomes Institutions from all over the world for deliberating upon challenging areas of Energy Law. The Competition consists of an extensive educational and social program, which brings together students of diverse backgrounds and cultures to challenge their skills as future international lawyers.

**Framework of TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy Laws**

**[www.dgef.in](http://www.dgef.in)**

*TIMCCE* will indeed be an ideal forum to bring together some of the most creative and intellectual young minds and witness their mastery on advocacy and research skills to be adjudged by experts in the field. We expect teams from leading Law Schools around the globe to participate & contribute to campaign to make Right to Energy a Fundamental Human Right.

*Have agreed to Framework of TILA INTERNATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION ON ENERGY LAWS (Framework of TIMCCE) to be as follows:*

## **Article 1: TEAM REGISTRATION**

### **1.1 Team Registration**

(a) Each Team must register with the DGEF Secretariat by completing a registration form online at [www.dgef.in](http://www.dgef.in) and paying the appropriate registration fee by the deadline in the Official Schedule. Each Team must provide a valid email address at registration. Notice sent to the registered email address constitutes notice to all Team Members.

(b) In alternative duly filled registration forms should reach Administrator of TIMCCE through email at [administrator@dgef.in](mailto:administrator@dgef.in) with subject title 'Final Registration'.

(c) Limited teams will be registered keeping in view the venue of TIMCCE in that particular year. So, interested applicants are kindly requested to register themselves at the earliest.

(d) DGEF Secretariat may promulgate additional rules and procedures as dictated by the best interests of the TIMCCE. All Team Members and Team Advisors are expected to regularly check the DGEF Homepage for updates, amendments, rules, clarifications and corrigendum and are assumed to have done so. A Team may not validly complain that it was not given proper notice of a rule change or update, when that change or update was made available on the DGEF Homepage.

### **1.2 Eligibility**

1- (a) Students enrolled in 3-5 year LL.B or 1-2 year LL.M degree course from any Institution are eligible to apply for registration of their team.



(b) Person not engaged in the practice of law, as determined in the discretion of the Administrator, in any jurisdiction after having graduated from any type of law degree program;

(c) Person who has never participated as judge, either of Memorials or in any Oral Round, at any level of the TIMCCE Competition.

(d)- Each institution may enter one Team, regardless of the number of colleges, departments, faculties, or schools within that institution, and the Team may include students from one or more of its colleges, departments, faculties, or schools (for example, by allowing a student in an Energy law program to compete on the Team with students from its law faculty). However, a duly authorized officer of an institution may petition the Secretary General, in writing and prior to the start of the Competition Year, to allow the participation of more than one Team from that institution. Additional Teams may be allowed if it is established that each such Team fulfills the participation and registration requirements of these Rules, and one of the following conditions is met: (i) an intramural competition or other Team selection process would be difficult or impossible to hold due to the institution's regulations, calendar, geographic location(s), or other circumstances beyond the control of the institution or participating Teams; or (ii) the Teams represent different colleges, faculties, branches, departments, or campuses of the same institution and will be participating independently of each other.

An institution located in one jurisdiction (the "home jurisdiction") that has a permanent physical campus in another jurisdiction (the "foreign jurisdiction"), and that wishes to register a Team in the foreign jurisdiction, must petition the Administrator in writing. The Administrator may consult with the Secretary General in the home and foreign jurisdictions, request additional information from the petitioning school, and conduct an independent investigation. The Administrator may then grant or withhold permission to enter such Team in the best interests of the Competition. Permission may be subject to such conditions as the Administrator may determine, including, in particular, limitations on the composition of the Team (for example, a requirement that all Team members be enrolled full time at the foreign campus).

Institutions that have outstanding debts for fees or other Competition obligations are not eligible to compete in the Competition until such fees have been paid or obligations have been satisfied.

Any Team composed of more than five Team Members, or which utilizes improper outside assistance, the Bench Memorandum, or another Team's Memorial without authorization under this Framework or Rules, may be disqualified from the Competition.

### **1.2 (a) Administrator written permission required in certain cases**

In addition to satisfying Framework (Team member Eligibility), a person must request and obtain the written permission of the Administrator to be a Team Member if the person:

- (a)-Is enrolled as a visiting or exchange student rather than as a degree candidate, or is otherwise enrolled at more than one institution.
- (b)-Is serving as extern or apprentice in lieu of attending class; or
- (c) – has already received a graduate degree or post- graduate degree in a legal field; or
- (d) –is enrolled in a program of study leading to a post-graduate degree in a legal field.

**Note that most LL.B. degree are considered undergraduate degrees. Students who are currently pursuing an undergraduate degree in a legal field are not required to obtain special permission under Rule. In addition, students who are currently pursuing, but have no yet completed their first graduate degree in a legal field are not required to obtain special permission under Rule.**

#### **(b) Administrator's Discretion**

Notwithstanding any provision, the Administrator shall have the discretion to Grant or deny eligibility in certain exceptional circumstances, but shall do so only in accord with the spirit of these Framework and Rules and in the best interests of the Competition.

#### **(c) Team Selection Process**

Team Members may be chosen by any method approved by the responsible authority within the institution.

#### **(d) Energy Courses**

Unless prior approval is granted in writing by the Administrator, Team Members may not participate or be enrolled in a class or course which uses the current year's Competition Problem as a subject matter of instruction, as a teaching tool, or as a means for selecting Team Members. No approval is necessary if student enrolment in the course is limited to Team Members. In any case, even when approval is unnecessary or has been granted by the Administrator, Team Members must comply with the other provisions of Framework & applicable Rules regarding outside assistance. Students enrolled in a Energy related course

who are not selected to be Team Members may not share or discuss their work with other Team Members.

### **1.3 Team Member Registration**

(a) Every student who contributes to the work product of the Team, at any point in the Competition Year, must be registered as a Team Member and counted toward the maximum of five Team Members that constitute that Team. Conducting research for a Team's oral and/or written arguments, writing any part of a Team's Memorial, and presenting any of a Team's oral arguments are examples of activities that contribute to a Team's work product. Students designated by a Institution or by a Team as alternate or back-up team members may not be registered as Team Members and may not contribute to the Team's work product.

(b) **Each registered Team Member may serve any Team Member role, such as researcher for the team, oralist for the Applicant, and oralist for the Respondent, and may serve** multiple or all Team Member roles, at any time during the Competition Year. Team Members do not need to register or otherwise designate with DGEF Secretariat their particular role(s) on the team.

(c) It is the responsibility of the Team to ensure that students are eligible under the provisions of Framework of TIMCCE & Rules, or have submitted a request for special permission as required, prior to contributing to the Team's work product.

(d) Registered Team Members with pending requests for special permission may contribute to the Team's work product while awaiting Administrators decision regarding such requests. If the Administrator denies a request, the requesting Team Member must immediately cease contributing to the Team's work product and the Team must discard all work produced by the ineligible Team Member.

(e) The name of each Team Member and the degree program in which he or she is enrolled must be registered with the DGEF Secretariat, via the online registration form located on the DGEF Homepage, by the registration deadline in the Official Schedule or as provided above.

The **Form No. 2 is the Registration form for TIMCCE**. In alternative the Scanned copy of the Form can be sent to [administrator@dgef.in](mailto:administrator@dgef.in)

### **1.4 Team Advisor Registration**

The name of each Team Advisor for a Team must be registered with the DGEF Secretariat by the Team registration deadline in the Official Schedule. Team Advisors must be registered by completing the online registration form located on DGEF Homepage. An individual sitting only as a practice round judge pursuant to Rules, whether or not a member of the faculty of the institution represented by the Team, need not register as a Team Advisor.

### 1.5 Team Number as Identification

Once a Team has completed registration, including paying in full the appropriate registration fee, the DGEF Secretariat will assign the Team an official Team Number.

### 1.6 Changes to Registration Information

Once Team Members and Team Advisors are registered, Teams may not make any changes, including to contact and mailing information, without permission from the Administrator. Any request to make a change must be submitted to ([administrator@dgef.in](mailto:administrator@dgef.in)) with an explanation of the reason for the proposed change. Substitution of a Team Member is not permitted after the registration deadline except in extenuating circumstances and only with the written permission of the DGEF Secretariat. The DGEF Secretariat will record approved Team Member substitutions in the registration system. These registration obligations continue throughout the course of the Competition Year until the Team has been eliminated from the Competition. **The Form 3 is the Change of Team Composition Form.**

NOTE: In accordance with Framework, no more than five individuals may contribute to the work product of the Team over the course of the Competition Year.

## Article 2: REGISTRATION FEE

2.1 The teams are required to send the registration fees as mentioned.

Delegates	Registration Fees (per team)
<b>Schedule 1 countries</b>	600 USD
<b>Schedule 2 countries</b>	350 USD
<b>Schedule 3 countries</b>	200 USD

The countries falling in above schedules are enclosed in Schedule 1, 2 and 3.

- 1) The details provided for formal registration will be final for the purposes of certification of participation and awards.

- 2) Once registered, the registration fee will neither be refunded nor waived.
- 3) Payment options are enclosed at the end of Form 2.

## 2.2 Waiver of Registration Fee

(a) Teams unable to pay in full the registration fee levied pursuant to above may apply for a waiver or discount from the DGEF Secretariat, which the DGEF Secretariat may grant in exceptional circumstances.

(b). Requests for waivers must be submitted to the DGEF Secretariat by the deadline noted in the Official Schedule. Any appeal from a decision taken by the DGEF Secretariat should be addressed to the Secretary General. The **Form 3 is Registration Fee Waiver form**. The waiver form shall be submitted at least 7 working days prior to the last date for registration.

## Article 3 LANGUAGE of TIMCCE

TIMCCE will be organized in English language, however during moot court competition following languages can be used, subject to the framework:

- Arabic
- Chinese
- English
- French
- Hindi
- Russian
- Spanish

The proceeding of TIMCCE will be held in English, in case team is using any other language other than English they will be required to arrange for one Translator at their own cost further a translated written memorial submission in English shall also be supplied to organization. Efforts will be made by organizer to Engage Judges who are well versed in languages of the team.

## Article 4: JUDGES AND ELIGIBILITY TO JUDGE

### 4.1 Determinations of Eligibility

The Secretary General, or the Administrator in consultation with the Secretary General, will determine the eligibility of persons to serve as judges in any part of the TIMCCE, subject to the provisions of this Framework and Rules.

#### **4.2 Students**

Students may act as Competition judges only if they (a) hold a degree in law in any jurisdiction, or a degree/specialization on Energy / law-related field, and (b) are not directly affiliated with any Team participating in the competition at which they are judging.

**The Administrator or the Secretary General will endeavour to take the written consent of Judges in Form No. 5** that is Judge Confirmation form.

#### **4.3 Team Advisors**

Unless expressly permitted by Framework or Rules or otherwise approved by the Administrator, Team Advisors, or others directly affiliated with a Team, may not act as judges at the oral or memorial stages of any competition until the Team they advise has been eliminated from the Competition

As a general principle, a Team shall have a number of Team Advisors no larger than is necessary to adequately prepare the Team to participate fairly in the Competition. The Team Advisors may provide advice to a Team, provided such advice is limited to:

- (a) general instruction on the basic principles of Energy law;
- (b) general advice on research sources and methods;
- (c) general advice on memorial writing techniques;
- (d) general advice on oral advocacy techniques;
- (e) general advice on the organization and structure of arguments in the Team's written and oral pleadings;
- (f) general commentary on the quality of the Team's legal and factual arguments;
- (g) advice on the interpretation and enforcement of these Rules; and
- (h) advice as to pleading options or similar strategic decisions.

#### **4.4 Anonymity of Teams**

Judges should not attempt to ascertain the school or country of origin of any Team during a competition. However, in circumstances where the judge believes his or her evaluation of a particular Memorial would be affected by knowledge of whether or not the primary language

used by the Team Members in their legal studies is English, the judge may request the DGEF Secretariat to reveal this information.

#### **4.5 Oral Round Conflicts of Interest**

(a) Definitions. For the purpose of this Article, the following definitions shall apply:

(1) an “Affiliation” means a personal or professional relationship between a judge and a Institution, coach, or Team member participating in the competition in which the judge is to serve.

(2) a “Conflict of Interest” means an Affiliation that would, in the eyes of a reasonable observer, create an inference that the judge would be unable to be impartial as to the conduct or result of the Oral Round.

(b) Prohibition and Mitigation of Conflict of Interest. The Administrator should avoid placing a judge into an oral round in which he or she has a Conflict of Interest. In the event that the Administrator is unable to avoid a Conflict of Interest, the Administrator should take reasonable steps to mitigate the effects of the Conflict on the Oral Round. Such steps might include: obtaining a waiver of the Conflict from both Teams; informing the other members of the panel of the judge’s Affiliation; adding to the panel a judge with a proportional Affiliation with the opposing Team; and assigning a neutral official to observe the Oral Round and the subsequent deliberations to determine whether the Conflict of Interest affected the outcome of the Oral Round.

(c) Waiver by Consent of Both Teams. Any Conflict of Interest may be cured by the express oral or written waiver, either before or after the Oral Round, of both Teams. In this event, neither Team may later file an appeal or other complaint on the basis of the Conflict of Interest. In addition:

(1) If a Team is aware of an Affiliation before the commencement of an Oral Round and fails to report it, as required, before the Oral Round begins, it shall be deemed to have waived any alleged Conflict of Interest.

(2) If a Team becomes aware of an Affiliation after the completion of an Oral Round and fails to report as required, it shall be deemed to have waived any Conflict of Interest.

(d) Prophylactic Avoidance of Conflicts. It is the duty of a judge to report any Affiliations at the time he or she registers to judge or subsequently, directly to the Administrator in advance of the Competition. The Administrator shall investigate any reported Affiliation (whether self-reported by a judge or otherwise) and shall determine whether such Affiliation constitutes a Conflict of Interest.

(e) Reporting Obligation of Teams. If a Team believes that an Affiliation exists which may constitute a Conflict of Interest, it shall promptly inform the Administrator or the bailiff of the affected Oral Round. The bailiff will report any alleged Affiliations to the Administrator, who shall take appropriate steps to investigate and, if he or she determines that a Conflict of Interest exists, to eliminate or mitigate it. In the absence of Administrator, the bailiff will report the Secretary General. A Team's failure to timely inform the Administrator of an Affiliation of which it is aware will constitute waiver.

(f) Affiliations Which Do Not Constitute Conflicts of Interest. The difference between a mere Affiliation and a Conflict of Interest is the reasonable inference of partiality. Absent additional facts supporting an inference of partiality, the following frequently occurring Affiliations do not constitute Conflicts of Interest:

- (1) the judge knows or recognizes a Team member by reason of the Member's participation in previous moot court competitions;
- (2) the judge knows one or both coaches, either because of professional or personal affiliation, prior participation in moot court competitions, or other reasons;
- (3) the judge is an alumnus of one of the schools participating in the Oral Round; and
- (4) the judge is an employee of one of the schools participating in the Oral Round where such employment is sufficiently remote from the activities of the Team as to dispel any reasonable suspicion of partiality.

#### **4.6 Prior Viewing of Teams**

(a) Subject to the Framework judges should not view a Team that they have viewed in a previous Oral Round. If a judge must view a Team twice, the Administrator should strive to ensure that he or she views the Team's opposite side.

(b) In the Advanced Rounds, the Administrator may permit judges to view one or more Teams that they have previously judged, if such viewing serves the best interests of the Competition.

#### **4.7 Bench Memorandum**

Judges must keep the contents of the Bench Memorandum strictly confidential, and may not disclose it to Teams or Team Members.

#### **4.8 Commentary by Judges**

Judges are encouraged to provide direct feedback to Teams regarding their performance at the completion of an Oral Round. In providing such feedback, judges are admonished to give



due regard to the time limitations and schedule of the Competition, and must abide by the following:

- (a) In the Preliminary Rounds of any competition, Judges may not provide any feedback that would reveal the contents of the Bench Memorandum, the scores given to individual Oralists, or the results of the Round.
- (b) In the Advanced Rounds of any competition, Judges may not provide any feedback that would reveal the contents of the Bench Memorandum or scores given to individual Oralists. The overall results of the Round may be revealed to the Teams. No team is allowed to know other teams marks.

#### **4.9 Confidentiality of the Bench Memorandum**

- (a) The Bench Memorandum is strictly confidential. The Secretary General will disqualify any Team that makes use of the Bench Memorandum. Teams who obtain a copy of The Bench Memorandum should immediately return it to the Administrator without examining the contents.
- (b) The Bench Memorandum may not be used at practice rounds or any other event that is not an official Qualifying Competition or the International Rounds.
- (c) Individual who have obtained access to The Bench Memorandum may not judge practice rounds.

### **Article 5 : MEMORIALS**

#### **5.1 Submission of Memorials**

- (a) Each Team participating in the Competition must prepare one Applicant and one Respondent Memorial.
- (b) Each Team must submit its Applicant and Respondent Memorials to the DGEF Secretariat via email to [administrator@dgef.in](mailto:administrator@dgef.in) and send its Applicant and Respondent Memorials to the relevant Administrator, if any, at the email address provided by the Administrator, no later than 23:59 (11:59 p.m.) Indian Standard Time (IST), on the date specified in the Official Schedule. Submission to the Administrator must occur in a single email message, addressed to the Administrator, with both Applicant and Respondent Memorials attached as separate files titled “###A” and “###R”, respectively (where “###” is the Team’s official Team Number assigned in accordance with Framework and Rules). If one

of the Memorials will be submitted late, a Team may separately submit the timely memorial online through [administrator@dgef.in](mailto:administrator@dgef.in) and send the timely Memorial to the Administrator before the deadline without penalty. The official time of submission for the purpose of calculating late penalties is the time each individual Memorial is uploaded to TIMCCE Homepage ([www.dgef.in](http://www.dgef.in)) . Administrators may assess a discretionary penalty of no more than 5 points (unless a Framework or Rules provides otherwise) if a Team fails to timely email its memorials to the Administrator.

(c) In exceptional situations and upon approval of the Secretary General pursuant to Framework, an Administrator may modify the Memorial submission guidelines via a Rules Supplement in the following ways:

(i) by establishing a deadline which is earlier, but not later, than the time and date specified in the Official Schedule. If an earlier deadline is set, the Memorials must be shared via email to the Administrator by this earlier deadline. Memorials received by the DGEF Secretariat after the earlier deadline will be subject to late penalties;

(ii) by requiring electronic copies to be mailed to opponent Teams by the deadline and in a manner and form specified by the Administrator through Rules ; or

(iii) by requiring paper copies to be mailed to the Administrator and/or opponent Teams by the deadline and in a manner and form specified by the Administrator. If the Administrator requests paper copies, he or she may specify a later postmark or courier deadline for the paper copies than for the electronic submission, provided that an electronic copy is sent to DGEF Secretariat and the Administrator by the designated deadline for electronic copies.

(d) All electronic and paper copy versions (if any) of Memorials submitted to the DGEF Secretariat, the Administrator, or any other person must be identical.

(e) Teams may resubmit their Memorials without penalty until the Memorial deadline has passed. After the Memorial submission deadline, resubmitted Memorials will be subject to a two-point penalty, as well as any applicable late penalties.

(f) Equipment failure or problems will not be considered justification for improper formatting or late submission of Memorials.

## **5.2 Disqualification Deadline**

Unless otherwise agreed in advance and in writing by the DGEF Secretariat, a Team will be disqualified from the Competition if it does not submit both its Applicant and Respondent Memorials by 23:59 (11:59 p.m.) Indian Standard Time (IST) on the Disqualification

Deadline for Memorial submission specified in the Official Schedule. Any Memorial submitted after the deadline for Submission of Memorials as defined by Framework but prior to the Disqualification Deadline, will be subject to applicable late penalties.

### **5.3 Memorials Submitted in Languages Other Than English**

If permitted by an applicable Framework or Rules, Teams may submit Memorials for Qualifying Rounds in languages other than English. Teams must upload such Memorials in the original language via [administrator@dgef.in](mailto:administrator@dgef.in) on or before the deadline indicated in the Official Schedule. Memorials of such Teams advancing to the International Rounds must be translated into English and submitted to the DGEF Secretariat at [administrator@dgef.in](mailto:administrator@dgef.in) no later than three weeks prior to the start of the International Rounds. Unless otherwise approved in advance and in writing by the DGEF Secretariat, failure to send translations by this date will result in disqualification of the Team. Such Memorials must otherwise conform to the required format outlined in these Rules and must be, to the greatest extent possible, verbatim translations of the originally submitted Memorials. Translated Memorials must be accompanied by a certificate from the translators stating that the contents of the Memorials were not revised, updated, or otherwise altered in the translation process. The Secretary General may disqualify any Team that alters the substantive content of its Memorial(s) during translation.

**5.3 (a) (i)**-All Teams are required to submit Seven (07) hard copies of the Written Submissions for each side (07 for the Applicant and 07 for the Respondent) at the following address:

**TIMCCE**  
**Dr. Gopal Energy Foundation**  
**DGEF Secretariat,**  
**JA-120, DLF TOWER-A,**  
**JASOLA DISTRICT CENTER,**  
**NEW DELHI -110025**

**(ii)**-The teams are required to submit a cover letter along with the hard copy of the Written Submissions. The cover letter should specify the Team Code assigned to such Team, details of the Team members and the Institution represented.

(iii) - Teams are required to send the soft copies and hard copies of the Written Submissions well in time, taking all contingencies into account. Equipment failure, computer disk failure, internet connectivity issues, courier service delays, etc. shall not be considered as grounds for condoning late submission of Written Submissions in determining any penalty imposed.

#### **5.4 Memorial Formatting**

(a) File Type: All parts of each Memorial must be contained in a single file. Memorials must be in Microsoft Word format and have a .doc or .docx file extension. Memorials that do not conform to this Framework, such as memorials in PDF format or memorials using a .dat file extension, will not be accepted. If a Team submits its memorials in an unacceptable format it may resubmit conforming Memorials, but will be subject to resubmission penalties.

The Written Submission (*including the preliminary pages and excluding the cover page*) shall adhere to the following mandatory specifications:

- a. Page size: A4
- b. Font type: Times New Roman
- c. Font size: 12
- d. Line spacing: 1.5
- e. Body of text: Justified
- f. Margin of 1 inch on each side of each page
- g. No borders

For footnotes, the formatting specifications are:

- a. Font type: Times New Roman
- b. Font size: 10
- c. Line spacing: 1
- d. Paragraph spacing: 0
- e. No additional space between 2 footnotes
- f. Body of text: Justified

#### **5.5 Memorial Content**

A Memorial shall consist of the following mandatory heads:

- a. Cover Page
- b. Table of Contents
- c. List of Abbreviations

***Framework of TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy Laws***

***[www.dgef.in](http://www.dgef.in)***

- d. Index of Authorities
- e. Statement of Jurisdiction
- f. Statement of Facts (not exceeding 2 pages)
- g. Measures at Issue
- h. Summary of Pleadings (not exceeding 3 pages)
- i. Legal Pleadings (not exceeding 25 pages)
- j. Request for Findings/ Prayers (not exceeding 1 page)

A Written Memorial should not exceed the maximum limit of 45 (Forty-Five) pages in length, including the Legal Pleadings and Cover Page.

### **5.6 Cover Page**

The Cover Page of a Written Memorial must contain the following information:

- a. The Team Code in the upper right-hand corner of cover page of memorial
- b. The year of the Competition
- c. The name of the case
- d. The side for which the Written Submission has been prepared
- e. Name of the forum resolving the dispute

### **5.7 Index of Authorities**

The Index of Authorities must list all legal authorities cited in any part of the Memorial and must indicate the page number(s) of the Memorial on which each is cited.

### **5.8 Statement of Facts**

A well-formed Statement of Facts should be limited to the stipulated facts and necessary inferences from the Competition Preposition. The Statement of Facts should not include unsupported statements, distortions of the facts provided, argumentation, or legal conclusions.

### **5.9 Summary of the Pleadings**

A well-formed Summary of the Pleadings should consist of a substantive summary of the Pleadings of the Memorial, rather than a simple reproduction of the headings contained in the Pleadings.

### **5.10 Legal Argument Limited to Pleadings**

Substantive, affirmative legal argument or legal interpretation of the facts of the Competition Proposition may be presented only in the “Pleadings” part of the Memorial. Summaries of such arguments may be included in the Questions Presented and the Summary of Pleadings.

### **5.12 Citation Requirement**

(a) Footnotes must be used to identify the source of statements or propositions made in the body of the Memorial. Endnotes are not permitted. Footnotes may include substantive pleadings in addition to the text of the citation itself. Footnotes are included in the word limit of Rules.

### **5.13 Citation Form**

Citations appearing in the Index of Authorities and text or footnotes of the Memorial must include a description of each authority adequate to allow a reasonable reader to identify and locate it in a publication or other source of general availability.

### **5.14 Anonymity in Memorials**

Names of participants, and the nations or school name of Teams, may not appear on or within the Memorials, and Team Members should not sign their Memorials. Administrators shall strike all references to Team Members, and countries or school names of Teams, from Memorials before submitting them to judges.

### **5.16 Teams with Technological Limitations**

If a Team is unable to comply with any portion of Framework or Rules due to technological limitations, it may request alternative arrangements with the DGEF Secretariat. Any such request must be made as soon as possible and, at a minimum, two weeks before the Memorial deadline.

### **5.16 – Draft Memorial**

Before Memorials are submitted, Teams may not distribute their memorials to anyone who is not a registered Team Member or a registered Team Advisor. Registered Team Advisors may provide feedback on memorials at any time provided they abide by the provisions, with respect to the permissible advice that can be given to the Team.

### **5.17 Assistance from Other Teams**

Team Members and Team Advisors from any Team, including Teams that have been eliminated from the Competition, may not provide assistance in any way to any other Team. Prohibited assistance includes, but is not limited to, the following: giving the Team's notes or Memorials to an advancing Team; posting the Team's Memorials online so that a person who is not a registered Team Member or registered Team Advisor may access them; engaging in practice moots against a participating Team; and providing video or audio tapes of previous rounds, whether practice rounds or Competition rounds, to an advancing Team. The Administrator may allow for otherwise prohibited assistance if deemed to be in the best interests of the Competition.

All IPR including copyright of TIMCCE Moot problem is with Dr. Gopal Energy Foundation, any Institute/University can use same after written permission from DGEF and payment of Royalty fees to DGEF which will be utilized for strengthening and promoting TIMCCE which includes improving prize money and additional facilities to participants.

### **5.18 - Practice Rounds**

(a) Teams may organize "practice rounds" of oral pleadings involving the Competition Problem to prepare for the Competition. In practice rounds, the persons presenting arguments for the Applicant and Respondent must be from the same Team.

(b) Registered Team Advisors may serve as judges in practice rounds held at any time provided they abide by the provisions.

(c) No one who is not a registered Team Member or registered Team Advisor may serve as a judge in a Team's practice rounds unless he or she:

i does so only after the submission of Memorials;

ii is not formally affiliated with any other Team in the Competition;

iii has not obtained access to the Bench Memorandum, and/or abides by the provisions with respect to the confidentiality of the Bench Memorandum;

iv confines his or her feedback to general commentary on the advocacy style and technique of the speakers, and to commentary respecting the general rules of Energy law; and

v refrains from offering commentary or suggestions about specific substantive arguments.

## **Article 6: ORAL ROUND PROCEDURES**

### **6.1 General Procedures**

Each Oral Round of each competition consists of 90 minutes of oral pleadings. Applicant and Respondent are each allotted 45 minutes. Oral presentations during the Round must be made by two, and only two Members, from each Team. Prior to the beginning of the Oral Round, each Team must indicate to the bailiff how it wishes to allocate its 45 minutes among (a) its first Oralist, (b) its second Oralist, and (c) rebuttal (for Applicant) or sur-rebuttal (for Respondent). The Team may not allocate more than 25 minutes, including rebuttal or sur-rebuttal, to either Oralist, although in extenuating circumstances, the Administrator has discretion to permit a single Oralist to argue beyond the 25-minute limit. Time allocated for but not used by one Oralist may not be used by the other Oralist or saved for rebuttal or sur-rebuttal. Any Team Member may act as an Oralist during any round of the Competition, and need not always argue the same side. Teams using interpreters may be allotted additional time.

### **6.2 Dress Code**

The dress code for the Competition shall be business formals.

### **6.3 Compendium**

Any Compendium that the Team seeks to rely on shall be submitted to the Bailiff prior to any Oral Pleading Session. Such Compendium must be spiral bound, and must contain a cover page specifying the Team Code. The Bailiff shall provide such Compendium to the Judges.

### **6.2 Extension or Shortening of Time at Judges' Discretion**

Judges may, at their discretion, extend total Team oral argument time beyond the 45-minute allocation, and Oralists asked by the judges to expand upon arguments may, in this instance, exceed the 25-minute individual limit.

### **6.3 Oral Rounds**

The order of the pleadings in each Oral Round at all levels of the Competition is:

Applicant 1 --> Applicant 2 --> Respondent 1 --> Respondent 2 --> Rebuttal (Applicant 1 or 2) --> Sur-rebuttal (Respondent 1 or 2). Once an Oralist has completed his or her main



pleading, that Oralists may not make any additional argument except for rebuttal or sur-rebuttal. This applies irrespective of whether the pleading Team uses all of the time it has allocated for its main pleadings. Time not used in the main pleading may not extend the time allocated to rebuttal or sur-rebuttal.

#### **6.4 Rebuttal and Sur-rebuttal**

Each Team may reserve up to ten minutes for rebuttal or sur-rebuttal. As a courtesy to the judges, Teams should announce at the beginning of their oral argument whether they intend to reserve time for rebuttal or sur-rebuttal and how much time they intend to reserve, although a failure to do so will not entail waiver of the right to rebuttal or sur-rebuttal. Only one of the two oralists participating in the Oral Round may deliver the rebuttal or sur-rebuttal, but the Team need not indicate in advance which of the pleading Team Members will do so. The rebuttal shall immediately follow Respondent 2, and the sur-rebuttal shall immediately follow the rebuttal. Teams may waive their rebuttal or sur-rebuttal.

#### **6.5 Scope of Pleadings**

A Team's oral pleadings are not in any way limited to the scope of the Team's Memorial. The scope of the Applicant's rebuttal is limited to responding to the Respondent's primary oral pleadings, and the scope of the Respondent's sur-rebuttal is limited to responding to the Applicant's rebuttal. If the Applicant waives rebuttal, Respondent's sur-rebuttal is automatically waived as well. Although judges are admonished to enforce the limits on the scope of rebuttal and sur-rebuttal, and may take a violation of this Rule into account in evaluating an Oralist's performance, there is no discretionary or non-discretionary Penalty for exceeding the scope of rebuttal or sur-rebuttal.

#### **6.6 Ex Parte Procedure**

(a) In extreme circumstances, such as when a Team fails to appear for a scheduled Oral Round, the Administrator, after waiting 30 minutes, may allow the Oral Round to proceed ex parte. In an ex parte proceeding, the attending Team presents its oral pleadings, which are scored by the judges to the extent possible as if the absent Team had been present and arguing. In such a case, the Team that fails to appear for its scheduled Round forfeits all six of the Round's Oral Round Points.

(b) The Administrator may schedule an additional ex parte proceeding for the absent Team later in the competition, if time and administrative concerns permit. The scores from the absent Team's ex parte proceeding do not affect the scoring of the original Oral Round and are used only for purposes of calculating individual oral pleading scores.

(c) Team will be deemed to have failed to appear if only one Member from a given Team appears for the Oral Round. In such an event, the absent Team's single Oralist shall be allowed to plead and receive an individual score for purposes of calculating individual oral pleading scores, even though his/her team forfeits all six Oral Round points.

### **6.7 Of Counsel**

During each Oral Round, one additional Team Member registered, may sit at the counsel table with the two Oralists, as counsel. The person acting as counsel need not be the same person in each Oral Round.

### **6.8 Competition Communications**

Only the oral communications with the judges described in Rule 6.9, 6.10, 6.11 are permitted. In particular, no written communication or exhibits may be presented or delivered by any Team Member to any judge.

### **6.9 Oral Courtroom Communication between Counsel and Judges**

Each Oralist may communicate with the judges, and the judges may communicate with that Oralist, only during his or her allotted time. In extraordinary circumstances, the judges may communicate directly with either Team's counsel table (for example, to clarify the spelling of an Oralist's name or to request that a Team remain quiet during its opponent's oral presentation).

### **6.10 Oral Courtroom Communication and Activity at Counsel Table**

To prevent disruption, communication at the counsel table between Team Members may be in writing only.

Teams and team-affiliated spectators shall avoid all unnecessary noise, outbursts, or other inappropriate behavior that distracts from the argument in progress.

### **6.11 Written Courtroom Communication**

Written communication during the Oral Round shall be limited to written communication among Team Members seated at the counsel table. No other written communication may take place between any combination of the following parties: judges, the oralist, Team Members seated at the counsel table, or spectators (including Team Members seated in the audience).

#### **6.12 Audio and Videotaping**

No audio taping or videotaping of oral pleadings is permitted without the advance permission of the entire panel of judges, the two participating Teams, and either the Administrator or the Secretary General. In no circumstances may participating Teams view or listen to any video or audiotape of an Oral Round until after the completion of the Competition in which the taped Oral Round took place. Dr. Gopal Energy foundation reserves all rights to the audio taping and videotaping, or any other form of audio or visual reproduction, of any Oral Round or part thereof. All Teams participating will be deemed to have consented to the taping and broadcasting of that Oral Round.

#### **6.13 Anonymity of Teams in Courtrooms**

During an Oral Round, participants may not directly or indirectly indicate their country or Institution of origin to the judges or bailiff, including through the wearing of name tags, pins, logos, or other signifiers, or the placement of folders, files, library books, or other materials identifying their school on counsel table. For the purposes of this Framework, the term “participants” includes Team Members, Team Advisors, and spectators affiliated with the Team.

#### **6.14 Computers, Mobile Phones, and other Electronic Devices in Courtrooms**

During an Oral Round, Oralists at the podium and participants seated at counsel table may not operate, for any purpose, mobile phones, laptop computers, PDAs, or any other computing or electronic devices, including but not limited to those which are internet-enabled or data-capable, or have instant messaging capabilities. All such devices must be turned off and removed from sight as soon as the bailiff first enters the courtroom, and must remain off and out of sight until the conclusion of the Oral Round. A Team that violates this Rule may forfeit up to six Oral Round Points; the Administrator shall determine a Penalty that corresponds to the severity of the violation.

### **6.15 Timekeeping Devices in the Courtroom**

The official time of the match shall be indicated by the bailiff. No one other than the bailiff may display timecards or otherwise signal to the Oralist how much time is left. Teams may use silent digital or analog watches at the podium or at counsel table. However, the use of any such device which, in addition to keeping time, is capable of either (a) sending or receiving data to another person or to the Internet, or (b) storing text data, shall be prohibited at counsel table and at the podium as a violation of Framework , even if such functionality is turned off.

## **ARTICLE 7: STRUCTURE OF THE COMPETITION & QUALIFYING COMPETITION PROCEDURES**

**7.0 -** The Competition shall consist of the following Oral Pleading Sessions:

- a. The Preliminary Rounds;
- b. The Quarter Finals;
- c. The Semi-Finals; and
- d. The Final Round

### **7.1 Preliminary Rounds**

Each Team participating shall participate in Preliminary Rounds consisting of four Oral Rounds, twice as Applicant and twice as Respondent. The Administrator shall work with the Secretary General to decide an appropriate match schedule, pairing procedure, and scoring system. Each Team shall, to the degree possible, face any opposing Team only once in the Preliminary Rounds. In the event that Teams must face each other in two Preliminary Rounds, each Team shall plead as Applicant in one Round and Respondent in the other Round.

### **7.2 Pairings**

The pairing of Teams for Preliminary Rounds shall be done, in the first instance, by a random draw. Pairings and Memorials of opposing Teams will be distributed to Teams on or prior to the first day of the Qualifying competition. The Administrator may modify the pairings to account for absent Teams or other contingencies. If Teams must be newly paired, they must be provided their new opponents' Memorials as soon as reasonably possible, but at the very least 15 minutes prior to the start of the newly-paired round.

### **7.3 Quarterfinal Rounds**

If 16 or more Teams are participating in a Qualifying competition, the Administrator may hold Quarterfinal Rounds consisting of four matches among the eight highest-ranking Teams from the Preliminary Rounds. In such Quarterfinal Rounds, the pairings shall be determined as follows: the eighth-ranked Team versus the first-ranked Team, the seventh-ranked Team versus the second-ranked Team, the sixth-ranked Team versus the third-ranked Team, and the fifth-ranked Team versus the fourth-ranked Team or the teams can be paired by adopting the chit system.

#### **7.4 Semifinal Rounds**

(a) If Quarterfinal Rounds have been held, the winning Team in each of the four Quarterfinal Rounds shall advance to the Semifinal Rounds. In Semifinal Rounds, the pairings shall be determined as, the highest-ranked remaining Team shall compete against the lowest-ranked Team. The rankings to be used will be the final rankings from the Preliminary Rounds.

(b) In other Qualifying Rounds of eight or more Teams, the Administrator may hold Semifinal Rounds among the four highest-ranking Teams from the Preliminary Rounds. In such Semifinal Rounds, the pairings shall be determined as follows: the fourth-ranked Team versus the first-ranked Team; and the second-ranked Team versus the third-ranked Team or the teams can be paired by adopting the chit system.

#### **7.5 Championship Rounds**

If Semi-final Rounds have been held, the winning Team in each of the two Semifinal Rounds shall advance to the Championship Round. If Semifinal Rounds have not been held, then the top two Teams from the Preliminary Rounds shall compete against one another in a single Championship Round. In either case, the winner of the Championship Round is the Champion.

#### **7.6 Pleading Option**

(a) Prior to the commencement of the Advanced Rounds, each competing Team will be given its completed master Team score-sheet from the Preliminary Rounds, but not individual judges' score-sheets or notes.

(b) In the Quarterfinal and Semifinal Rounds, the higher-ranking Team from the Preliminary Rounds shall have the right to choose which side it will argue. This right is called the "pleading option."

(c) The Administrator shall choose a means of random decision in determining the pleading option for a Championship Round. Possible methods include, but are not limited to, the following:

(i) Coin toss: A designated Team Member of the higher-ranking Team from the Preliminary Rounds will call the toss, and the Administrator will toss the coin. If the Team Member correctly calls the toss, then his or her Team will have the pleading option. If that Team Member does not correctly call the toss, then the opposing Team will have the pleading option.

(ii) Rolling a Die: A designated Team Member of the higher ranking Team from the Preliminary Rounds will roll a 6-sided die. If the Team Member rolls an even number, then his or her Team will have the pleading option. If the number rolled is odd, then the opposing Team will have the pleading option.

(iii) Drawing Lots: A designated Team Member of the higher ranking Team from the Preliminary Rounds will select a piece of paper from a container (e.g., a hat, bag, or box). The pieces of paper will say either "Yes" or "No", and there shall be an equal number of pieces marked "Yes" and "No." If the Team Member selects a piece of paper saying "Yes," his or her Team will have the pleading option. If the piece of paper says "No," then the opposing Team will have the pleading option.

Administrators may also use any reasonable alternate random method to determine pleading option in a Championship Round.

(d) The Team with the pleading option has ten minutes to decide which side it wishes to plead. If that Team fails to select, then the Team with second highest number has five minutes to do so. If the opposing Team fails to exercise its pleading option within a five-minute period, it also shall forfeit its pleading option. Should both Teams fail to select, then the higher-ranked Team will argue Applicant and the lower-ranked Team will argue Respondent.

(e) Once the sides have been determined, the Administrator will immediately notify both Teams. The Administrator will give each Team the appropriate Memorial of its opponent. The Teams will then be granted a reasonable time to prepare for the Oral Round.

### **7.7 Complaints Regarding Conduct of a Round**

(a) General Procedure. A Team may submit a complaint to the Secretary General concerning one or more violations of the Framework or Rules that it alleges materially deprived it of an

opportunity to further Rounds. Such complaint must be submitted to the Secretary General and the Administrator via electronic mail no later than 48 hours after the conclusion of Rounds. A Team's allegation, however well supported, that the judges in a given Oral Round reached the wrong conclusion cannot be the basis for a complaint.

(b) Required Contents of a Complaint. A complaint must include the following information:

- (i) the Team number, Institution, and contact information of the complaining Team;
- (ii) the specific facts constituting the alleged violation(s);
- (iii) a description of how the violation(s) materially deprived the team of an opportunity to advance to the International Rounds; and
- (iv) the identities and contact information of any witnesses to the violation(s).

(c) Prohibition on Discussion of Complaint. Unless directed to do so by the Secretary General, a Team shall not discuss its complaint with any judges or other witnesses to the alleged violation(s) until after the final decision on the complaint is delivered by the Secretary General.

(d) Exhaustion of Local Remedies. If, at the time a violation occurs, a Team that is aware of the violation fails to bring it to the attention of the Administrator, and if such violation could have been remedied by prompt action of the Administrator, the Team shall have waived any complaint based upon that violation.

(e) Standing. Only a Team claiming that, as a result of a violation, it was materially deprived of an opportunity to advance to the International Rounds shall have standing to file a complaint based upon that violation. Any other allegations of violations shall be treated as comments or suggestions.

(f) Investigation of Complaints. The Secretary General will promptly investigate a complaint. Such investigation will focus on whether the alleged violation actually occurred and, if so, whether the violation materially deprived the Team of an opportunity to advance to the International Rounds. Such investigation may entail discussions with the Administrator, judges, other Teams, and interested and disinterested observers. Even when it is determined that a violation occurred, the Secretary General may conclude that the violation did not materially deprive the Team of an opportunity to advance to the International Rounds.

(g) Remedy. If the Secretary General concludes that a violation occurred and materially deprived the complaining Team of an opportunity to advance to the International Rounds, he or she shall impose an appropriate remedy, including but not limited to: overruling the results of one or more Advanced Rounds; changing the Team declared as the winner of the Round;

inviting one or more additional Teams to attend the International Rounds as additional representatives of the country or region in question; or issuing a formal apology to the complaining Team(s).

(h) No Prohibition on Constructive Suggestions. Teams are encouraged to offer suggestions to the Administrator or the Secretary General for the improvement of the Competition. Such suggestions shall be promptly acknowledged, although for reasons of expediency, they may not be addressed until after the conclusion of the International Rounds.

## **Article 8 : COMPETITION SCORING & EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR WRITTEN SUBMISSION AND ORAL PLEADING SESSIONS.**

### **8.1 Basis for Scores**

Judges are instructed to judge the Teams on the overall quality of their performances, not on the underlying merits of the case.

### **8.2 Preliminary Rounds**

Scoring of the Preliminary Rounds shall consist of two parts: the

- (a) written Memorials
- (b) Oral Rounds.

#### **(a) - EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR Memorial**

Written Submissions will be marked on a scale of 100 marks as per the following criteria:

<b>S.NO.</b>	<b>MARKING CRITERIA</b>	<b>MAXIMUM MARKS</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Knowledge of Law and Facts</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Interpretation of Law and Application to Facts</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Identification and Articulation of Issues and Jurisdiction</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Use of Authorities</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Authoritativeness and Persuasiveness</b>	<b>10</b>



6	Organization of Arguments	10
7	Clarity of Thought	10
8	Originality and Innovation	10
9	Presentation Style	10
10	General Impression	10
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>

**(b) EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR ORAL PLEADING SESSIONS**

The Oral Pleading Sessions will be marked on a scale of 100 marks per Panelistas per the following criteria:

S.NO.	MARKING CRITERIA	MAXIMUM MARKS
1	Knowledge of Law and Facts	10
2	Interpretation of Law and Application to Facts	10
3	Identification and Articulation of Issues and Jurisdiction	10
4	Use of Authorities	10
5	Authoritativeness and Persuasiveness	10
6	Organization of Arguments	10
7	Clarity of Thought	10
8	Originality and Innovation	10
9	Presentation Style	10
10	General Impression	10
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>

### **8.3 Calculation of Scoring Points**

Two categories of points shall be awarded to Teams in each match: Raw Score and Round Points.

### **8.4 Raw Scores**

The calculation of Raw Scores shall be subject to the deduction of Penalty points under the provisions of Rules 7.

(a) Memorial Raw Scores: In each match, the Total Memorial Raw Score for each Team is the sum of the three Memorial judges' scores for the side the Team argued in that Oral Round. A Team's Total Competition Memorial Raw Score is the sum of the six scores for its Applicant and Respondent Memorials.

(b). Oral Raw Score: In each match, a Team's Total Oral Raw Score is the sum of the scores of the three judges for each of its two Oralists.

(c) Total Raw Scores: In each match, a Team's Total Raw Score is the sum of the Team's Total Memorial Raw Score and the Team's Total Oral Raw Score for that match. A Team's Total Competition Raw Score is the sum of the Total Raw Scores from each of its matches.

### **8.5 Round Points**

(a) Memorial Round Points: In each match, a total of three Round Points will be awarded based on a comparison of the highest, middle, and lowest scores on Memorials. If four-judge panels are used to evaluate Memorials, the score furthest from the mean will be discarded and the three remaining scores will be used as the Memorial's highest, middle, and lowest scores. The Memorials to be compared correspond to the side that the Team argued in that match (in other words, the scores of the Applicant Team's Applicant Memorials are compared with the scores of the Respondent Team's Respondent Memorials). For each comparison, the Team with the higher score is awarded one Round Point. Hence, the highest score given by a memorial judge for one Team is compared to the highest score given the other Team, and one round point is awarded to the Team with the higher of these scores. Similarly, the two middle scores, and then the two lowest scores, are compared to determine which Team receives the second and third round points. If in any such comparison the two Teams' scores are equal, each Team is awarded one-half of a Round Point.

(b) Oral Round Points: In each match, a total of six Round Points are awarded based on a comparison of combined oral argument scores. For each judge, the sum of the judge's score for Applicant's two Oralists is compared to the sum of the judge's scores for Respondent's two Oralists. For each judge, the Team with the highest combined oralist score is awarded two Round Points. If in any such comparison, the two Teams' scores are equal, each Team is awarded one Round Point.

(c) Total Round Points: In each Round, a Team's Total Round Points is the sum of the Team's Memorial Round Points and Oral Round Points.

### **8.6 Two-Judge Panels**

If only two judges score a given Memorial or a given Oral Round, the Administrator shall create a third score and score sheet by averaging the scores of the two judges.

### **8.7 Determining the Winner of a Preliminary Round Match**

In any given match, the Team receiving more of the nine available Round Points wins the match. If the two Teams each receive 4.5 Round Points, the Team with the higher Total Raw Score wins the match. If the two Teams have an equal number of Round Points and an equal Total Raw Score, the match is a draw.

### **8.8 Preliminary Round Rankings**

(a) Teams shall be ranked by number of wins in the Preliminary Rounds, from highest to lowest.

(b) If two or more Teams have the same number of wins, the Team having the higher number of draws shall be ranked higher.

(c) If two or more Teams have the same number of wins and the same number of draws, the Team having the higher Total Competition Raw Score from the Preliminary Rounds shall be ranked higher.

(d) If two or more Teams have the same number of wins, the same number of draws and the same Total Competition Raw Score, the Team with the higher Total Competition Round Points from the Preliminary Rounds shall be ranked higher.

### **8.9 Tie-Breaking Procedure**

If two or more Teams are tied after application of Rule 8.8, and the outcome of the determination does not affect (a) any Team's entry into the Advanced Rounds, or (b) the pairing of any Teams in the Advanced Rounds of the competition, the Teams shall be ranked equally. If, however, further determination is necessary to determine advancement or pairings, the rankings shall be accomplished as follows:

- (a) If only two Teams are tied and if the tied Teams have faced each other in the Preliminary Rounds, the winner of that match shall be ranked higher.
- (b) If only two Teams are tied and the Teams have not faced each other in the Preliminary Rounds, the Administrator shall break the tie according to the following methods, starting with the first and working down only if the prior method does not break the tie:
  - (i) the Team with the higher total Oralist and Memorial score average wins, calculated by adding the Team's Total Competition Oral Raw Score divided by 24 and the Team's Total Competition Memorial Raw Score divided by six;
  - (ii) the Team whose opponents won more matches wins, calculated by adding the number of wins of the Teams' opponents and for this purpose only, counting a draw as one-half of a win;
  - (iii) the Team wins whose opponents scored higher, calculated by adding the Total Raw Scores of the Teams' opponents;
  - (iv) the Team with the higher total oralist score average wins, calculated by dividing the Total Competition Oral Raw Score by 24; or
  - (v) the Administrator determines a method to break the tie, taking into account the interests of the Teams and the Competition as a whole.

### **8.10 Scoring Procedures for Advanced Rounds**

The following scoring procedures and guidelines shall apply to Advanced Rounds of Qualifying:

Judges of Advanced Rounds of each Qualifying competition shall make an independent review of the Team Memorials and oral arguments, taking both into account, although they need not give any particular fixed weight to either Memorials or oral arguments. The Administrator shall provide a Preliminary Round Oral Score-sheet to the judges to use at their discretion. The decision regarding the winner of the Round shall be by majority vote of the judges. No ties are allowed.

### **8.11 Ranking of Oralists**

Individual Oralists shall be ranked from highest to lowest average oral score by the Administrator. An Oralist's average oral score is the average of the scores awarded to that individual by each judge during the Preliminary Rounds, excluding the single lowest score awarded to the Oralist. If an oralist argued in only one Preliminary Round, he or she is not eligible for ranking.

Example: Peter argued in two Preliminary Rounds. After his first appearance, the three judges awarded him a 93, an 86, and an 83. In her second appearance, the three judges awarded him an 86, an 82, and an 79. In determining her average oral score, the Administrator would disregard the 79 she received in the second Round (her lowest mark). So her score would be the sum of her other five scores (430), divided by the number of judges whose scores were counted (5), for an average oral score of 86.0.

### **8.12 Ranking of Memorials**

Total Memorial scores for each Team shall be determined by adding the Total Raw Score of a Team's Applicant Memorial and the Total Raw Score of the Respondent Memorial, for a total of six judges' scores. Team Memorials shall be ranked by the Administrator from the highest Total Memorial score to the lowest. Ties are permitted. Scores shall be adjusted for Penalties per Rule 7.

### **8.13 Reporting of Results**

After the conclusion of each competition, each participating Team shall receive the following:

- (a) a copy of individual Memorial judges' score-sheets with comments, if any;
- (b) a copy of individual Oral Round judges' score-sheets and Penalties, if any, with attendant comments, if any, from Preliminary Rounds of the competition;
- (c) a copy of the Overall Rankings of the Preliminary Rounds, with the Total Win-Loss records, Overall Raw Scores, and Overall Round Points;
- (d) a copy of the Oralist Rankings from the Preliminary Rounds;
- (e) a copy of the Memorial Rankings from the Preliminary Rounds; and
- (f) a summary of the Advanced Rounds of the competition.

## ARTICLE 9: PENALTIES

### 9.1 Memorial Penalties

(a) Memorial Penalties may be imposed by the Administrator and shall be deducted from each of the individual judges' scores on a Team's Memorial. In the event that a Memorial is scored by only two judges, penalties shall be deducted from each of the two judges' scores prior to calculating the third score.

(b) The minimum adjusted raw score that any Team may receive from any individual Memorial judge is 50 points. No further reduction may be made to scores after the minimum score is reached, regardless of unallocated Penalty points remaining.

(c) In instances where only one Memorial is in violation of a Framework or Rule, Memorial Penalties may be deducted from the scores of the offending Memorial only.

(d) The Administrator shall notify all affected Teams of imposed Penalties prior to the first Preliminary Round, and shall include with such notification a reasonable deadline for any appeals from the decision to impose Penalties. A Team may appeal any Penalty imposed against its Memorials by writing to the Administrator, who shall then forward the appeal to the Secretary General, or by writing directly to the Secretary General. The Secretary General shall decide upon the validity of any appeal from the imposition of a Penalty by the Administrator. No further appeal is available from this decision of the Secretary General.

(e) Penalties shall be assessed for violations of the Rules concerning Memorials by reference to the following table:

St. No.	Summary	Penalty
1	Tardiness in submitting Memorial to TIMCCE	5 points for first day, 3 points per day thereafter  If neither Memorial has been submitted by the Disqualification Deadline, the Team will be withdrawn from the Competition.
2	Resubmission of memorial	2 Points

3	<p>Formatting Violations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Use of incorrect font</li> <li>• Use of incorrect font-size</li> <li>• Use of improper line spacing</li> <li>• Use of improper block quote (less than 50 words)</li> <li>• Use of endnotes</li> </ul>	1 point per type of violation, up to a maximum of 5 points
4	Failure to remove Tracked Changes or Comments	Up to 5 points (one-time penalty)
5	Failure to include all parts of Memorial, or inclusion of an un-enumerated part	2 points for each part
6	Failure to include necessary and correct information on Memorial Cover Page	2 points (one-time penalty)
7	Excessive length: Summary of Pleadings	2 points (one-time penalty)
8	Excessive length: Statement of Facts	2 points (one-time penalty)
9	Violation of anonymity in Memorial	Disqualification or up to 10 points (one-time penalty)
10	Plagiarism	1 to 50 points

## 9.2 Plagiarism

Teams shall not commit plagiarism. "Plagiarism" means the act of appropriating the literary composition of another, or parts or passages of another's writings, or the ideas or language of another, and passing them off as the product of one's own mind. After investigation and review, in a verified case of plagiarism, an Administrator shall assess a Penalty between one and 50 points to each offending Memorial, with the Penalty corresponding to the degree of the violation in the judgment of the Administrator. Administrators shall refer all allegations of plagiarism to the DGEF Secretariat. After investigation and review, the Secretary General

may do one or both of the following: (1) disqualify the Team; (2) notify the Team's dean and/or other Institutions official(s) of the results of the Secretary General's investigation.

### **9.3 Oral Round Penalties**

The Administrator shall impose an Oral Round Penalty only when he or she is satisfied that an event subject to such Penalty has occurred, if necessary after consultation with the judges, bailiff, Teams, and/or spectators.

### **9.4 Complaint Procedure**

(a) If a Team believes that an infraction of the Framework or Rules has occurred during an Oral Round, the Team may notify the bailiff in writing within five minutes of the conclusion of that Oral Round. If there is no bailiff, Teams must approach the Administrator with complaints. Written notification shall clearly describe the alleged violation and the parties involved. Teams shall not directly approach the judges regarding a violation of these Framework or Rules. When possible, the matter should be raised with the bailiff outside the presence of the judges. Failure by any Team to follow the procedures described in this paragraph shall result in a waiver of the Team's complaint.

(b) If a judge believes that an infraction has occurred during an Oral Round, he or she shall notify the bailiff orally or in writing within five minutes after the completion of the Oral Round. When possible, the matter should be raised with the bailiff outside the presence of the other judges.

### **9.5 Deduction of Penalties by Judges Prohibited**

Penalty points may be deducted only by the Administrator. In no instance shall judges themselves deduct any Penalty points from the scores of the oralists. Judges shall score the Oral Round as if no violation occurred, and may take an infraction into account only if so instructed by the Administrator.

### **9.6 Activity Subject to Oral-Round Penalties**

Penalties may be assessed for violations during an Oral Round by reference to the following table. The Administrator shall deduct the Penalty amount from each judge's combined score (the sum of the judge's score for Oralist 1 and Oralist 2) before determining the Oral Round Points.



Sl. No.	Summary	Penalty
1	Improper courtroom communications	Upto 10 points
2	Violation of anonymity in courtroom	Disqualification or up to 15 points

### 9.7 Notice and Appeals

The Administrator shall notify Teams of his or her decision regarding imposition of any Oral Round Penalty as soon as practicable. Along with the decision, the Administrator shall set a reasonable deadline by which either Team may appeal to the Secretary General. If an appeal is submitted, the Administrator shall consult with the Secretary General in resolving it. The Secretary General's decision on all appeals is final.

### 9.8 Discretionary Penalties

(a) In addition to the Penalties listed in above, the Administrator may assess up to 15-point Discretionary Penalties for other violations of the letter or spirit of these Framework or Rules made there under. The size of the Penalty shall correspond to the degree of the violation in the judgment of the Administrator. Discretionary Penalties may be imposed upon a Team for conduct of its Team Members or of persons affiliated with the Team. Such violations may include:

- i. poor sportsmanship;
- ii. submitting multiple frivolous complaints against other Teams;
- iii. inappropriate behavior at the counsel table during the Oral Rounds;
- iv. blatant disregard for the procedures or requirements outlined in the Framework and Rules.

(b) Serious breaches of sportsmanship, for example, inappropriate ex parte communications with competition judges either before or after a match, may, at the sole discretion of the Secretary General, result in a Team being disqualified from the Competition.

### 9.9 De Minimis Rule

The Administrator may waive or reduce the penalty for a de minimis Rule violation.

### **9.10 Anonymity of Teams**

The Secretary General may disqualify or impose a Penalty against any Team that intentionally or inadvertently discloses its school or country of origin to a judge during a competition, whether or not such disclosure occurs during a Oral Round.

### **Article 10 AWARDS**

The Awards for the International Rounds will be decided by the Secretary General. **The Awards for the First TIMCCE are listed in Schedule 5 of the Framework .**

### **ARTICLE 11 RULES OF TIMCCE**

#### **11.1 TIMCCE Rules**

(a) The DGEF Secretariat encourages all Administrators of respective countries to formulate a Rules for their Rounds. The Rules must be called as “ TIMCCE RULES FOR [Country name]”.

(b) Rules Supplements must be submitted to and approved by the DGEF Secretariat before they may be adopted and enforced by an Administrator. An Administrator shall submit a Rules Supplement to the DGEF Secretariat for approval as soon as practicable but no later than two weeks prior to the commencement of the competition. If the Rules Supplement modifies the memorial submission procedure, it must be submitted for approval no later than two weeks prior to the memorial submission deadline indicated in the Official Schedule.

(c) Upon approval of a Rules Supplement, the DGEF Secretariat shall post it on the DGEF website, and the Administrator shall immediately notify every affected team that a Rules Supplement has been approved and adopted.

#### **11.2 Power to Promulgate Additional Measures**

The Administrator may promulgate any other measures for the orderly conduct of the Competition or to correct deficiencies in the Competition. After the DGEF Secretariat has approved a Rules Supplement pursuant to Framework, Administrator may, in consultation with and upon prior approval of the Secretary General, promulgate additional rules,

modifications, or other measures for the orderly conduct of the competition. Any such proposed additional rules, modifications, or other measures shall not violate the spirit of these Rules or the best interests of the Competition. Any proposed additional rules, modifications or other measures shall be submitted to the DGEF Secretariat as soon as practicable for approval in accordance with Framework, and once approved, codified by the Secretary General in the Rules Supplement and posted to the DGEF website.

### **11.3. Interpretation of Rules**

The Secretary General shall serve as final arbiter of implementation and interpretation of these Rules.

### **11.4 . Nationality Requirements**

Administrator may not, without the written approval of the Secretary General , set nationality requirements with regard to the composition of Teams. Requests for such approval must state clearly in writing the reasons for such a requirement and must be incorporated in the relevant Rules Supplement, approved in accordance with these Rules.

## **ARTICLE 12 DEFINITIONS**

1. **Administrator** – means the administrator nominated / notified by the Secretary General. Generally one administrator shall be notified for each country. The main responsibility of the Administrator shall be conducting National Rounds and on or before 31<sup>st</sup> December of the previous year or the Competition year.
2. **Amendment**- Means Amendment to the Framework of TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy Laws and notified by the President of Dr. Gopal Energy Foundation.
3. **Bailiff** – The courtroom manager or courtroom officer who maintains order during the TIMCCE proceedings. He/She may be student of the Institution where the TIMCCE proceedings are being held.
4. **Circular**- means circular issued by the Secretary General or the Administrator of TIMCCE.
5. **Clarifications** – refer to the clarifications and corrections to the Moot Problem issued. They shall form part of the Moot Problem.

6. **Compendium** - means a compilation or collection of legal or other authorities that a Team seeks to rely upon during the Oral Pleading Session
7. **Competition year** – means the calendar year starting from 1<sup>st</sup> January and ending at 31<sup>st</sup> December of the same year.
8. **Corrigendum** – The amended document after the corrections done.
9. **DGEF Secretariat**- means the Secretariat of Dr. Gopal Energy Foundation at New Delhi.
10. **DGEF Homepage** – means [www.dgef.in](http://www.dgef.in)
11. **Institution** – means all colleges/ schools/ universities or institute with any other name who are authorized by the respective Government to give first degree of Law as 3-5 years of law course including or 1-2 years of second degree in Law including LLM.
12. **IST** - means Indian Standard Time.
13. **Oralist** - means one of the two designated speakers in a Team
14. **Rebuttals**- refer to the set of arguments/challenges that the Applicant shall raise at the end of the main pleadings of all the Oralists.
15. **Reply to Rebuttals & Sur-rebuttals** - means the defense presented by the Respondent in response to the Rebuttals.
16. **Researcher** - means that member of a Team who is not an Oralist **Rules or TIMCCE**
17. **Researchers Test**-means a written test conducted during the Competition in accordance Articles of Framework of the Competition.
18. **Rules**– means the Rules issued by the Secretary General of Dr. Gopal Energy Foundation.
19. **Spectators**- means as a principal of natural justice all proceedings will be held in open court. Any person is eligible to see the proceedings of the **Competition by becoming** spectator. However the teams are allowed to be spectator only in case they have not qualified for further rounds. Further keeping in view the space available at the venue site and security concerns the Administrator may make rules with respect to eligibility to become spectator.
20. **Secretary General** – means Secretary General of Dr. Gopal Energy Foundation or his nominee, who has been delegated powers in terms of the Framework of TIMCCE.
21. **TIMCCE/Competition** – Means TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy Laws.

**TIMCCE Framework** – means Framework of the TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy Laws as amended from time to time and includes the Forms and Schedules attached therein.

22. **Updates** – means proceedings of the TIMCCE and note worthy developments published on the website .

<http://www.pdf-tools.com>

**TILA INTERNATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION ON ENERGY LAWS  
(TIMCCE)**

Venue – Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan, INDIA

Date – 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> October every year

**Ref: TIMCCE / Schedule 1**

**Schedule 1 / High Income Nations**

Andorra	Denmark	Liechtenstein St. Martin
Antigua and Barbuda	Estonia	(French part)
Argentina	Faroe Islands	Luxembourg
Aruba	Finland	Malta
Australia	France	Monaco
Austria	French	Netherlands
Germany	Gibraltar	New Caledonia
Bahamas	Greece Panama	New Zealand
Bahrain	Greenland	Northern Mariana Islands
Barbados	Guam	Norway
Belgium	Hong Kong	Oman
Bermuda	Iceland	Palau
Brunei	Ireland	Poland
Canada	Isle of Man	Portugal
Cayman Islands	Israel	Polynesia
Channel Islands	Italy	Puerto Rico
Chile	Japan	Qatar
Croatia	Korea, Rep.	San Marino
Czech Republic	Kuwait	SAR, China
Cyprus Macao SAR, China	Latvia St. Kitts and Nevis	Saudi Arabia
Darussalam	Lithuania	Seychelles
Singapore	Sweden	United Kingdom
Sint Maarten (Dutch part)	Switzerland	United States
Slovak Republic	The Hungary	Uruguay
Slovenia	Trinidad and Tobago	Virgin Islands
Spain	Turks and Caicos Islands	Virgin Islands (U.S.)
	United Arab Emirates	

**TILA INTERNATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION ON ENERGY LAWS  
(TIMCCE)**

Venue – Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan, INDIA

Date – 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> October every year

**Ref: TIMCCE / Schedule 2**

**Schedule 2 / Upper Middle Income Nations**

Africa	Ecuador	Montenegro
Albania	Equatorial Guinea	Namibia
Algeria	Fiji	Nauru
American Samoa Grenada	Gabon	Paraguay
Armenia	Grenadines	Peru
Azerbaijan	Guatemala	Romania
Belarus	Guyana	Russian Federation
Belize	Jamaica	Samoa
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Jordan	Serbia
Botswana	Kazakhstan	South
Brazil	Libya	St. Lucia
Bulgaria	Lebanon	St. Vincent and the
China	Macedonia, FYR Thailand	Suriname
Colombia	Malaysia	Tonga
Costa Rica	Maldives	Turkey
Cuba	Marshall Islands	Turkmenistan
Dominica	Mauritius	Venezuela, RB
Dominican Republic Tuvalu	Mexico	

**TILA INTERNATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION ON ENERGY LAWS  
(TIMCCE)**

Venue – Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan, INDIA

Date – 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> October every year

**Ref: TIMCCE / Schedule 3**

**Schedule 3 / Other Nations**

Angola	Haiti	Papua
Bangladesh	Honduras	Philippines
Benin	India	Rwanda
Bhutan	Indonesia	São Tomé and Principe
Bolivia	Kenya	Senegal
Burkina Faso	Kiribati	Sierra Leone
Burundi	Kosovo	Solomon Islands
Cabo Verde	Kyrgyz Republic	Somalia
Cambodia	Korea, Dem. People's Rep.	Sri Lanka
Cameroon	Lao PDR	South Sudan
Central African Republic	Lesotho	Sudan
Chad	Liberia	Swaziland
Comoros	Madagascar	Tanzania
Congo, Dem. Rep	Malawi	Timor-Leste
Congo, Rep. Mauritania	Mali	Togo
Côte d'Ivoire	Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	Tunisia
Djibouti	Moldova	Uganda
Eritrea	Mongolia	Ukraine
Egypt, Arab Rep.	Morocco	Uzbekistan
Ethiopia	Mozambique	Vanuatu
El Salvador	Myanmar	Vietnam
Gambia	Nepal	West Bank and Gaza
Georgia	New Guinea	Yemen, Rep.
Ghana	Nicaragua	Zambia
Guinea-Bissau	Niger	Zimbabwe
Guinea	Nigeria	



**TILA INTERNATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION ON ENERGY LAWS  
(TIMCCE)**

Venue – Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan, INDIA

Date – 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> October every year

**Ref. TIMCCE/ Schedule 4**

**OFFICIAL SCHEDULE**

2 <sup>nd</sup> May (11:59 P.M. IST)	Release of Moot Problem
2 <sup>nd</sup> June (11:59 P.M. IST)	Last Date for provisional registration
20 <sup>th</sup> June (11:59 P.M. IST)	Last Date to complete all registration formalities
2 <sup>nd</sup> July	Dispatch of Team Codes to participating teams
2 <sup>nd</sup> August	Last Date to apply for clarification to the Moot Problem
20 <sup>th</sup> September	Clarifications, if any, to be published on the Official Website
2 <sup>nd</sup> September (11:59 P.M. IST)	Last date for submitting the soft copy of the Written Submission
10 <sup>th</sup> September (05:00 P.M. IST)	Last date for submitting the hard copy of the Written Submission for Indian Teams
20 <sup>th</sup> September (05:00 P.M. IST)	Last date for submitting the hard copy of the written submission for Foreign Teams
1 <sup>ST</sup> October	Inaugural Function, Orientation of Participants and Researchers' Test
1 <sup>st</sup> October	Preliminary Rounds
2 <sup>nd</sup> October	Quarter-Final and Semi- Final Rounds
2 <sup>nd</sup> October	Final Round and Valedictory Ceremony

***Framework of TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy Laws***

***[www.dgef.in](http://www.dgef.in)***

**TILA INTERNATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION ON ENERGY LAWS  
(TIMCCE)**

Venue – Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan, INDIA

Date – 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> October every year

**Ref. TIMCCE/ Schedule 5**

**TIMCCE PRIZE, CERTIFICATES AND AWARDS**

S.No	Champion	TIMCCE Prize, Certificates and Awards
1	<b>1<sup>st</sup> Prize @ TIMCCE one</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cash Prize of USD 500</li> <li>• Certificate- 1<sup>st</sup> Prize</li> <li>• Certificate- Participation</li> <li>• 100% scholarship for all team members for Electricity Laws Online Certificate Course awarded by Dr. Gopal Energy Foundation.</li> </ul>
2	<b>2<sup>nd</sup> Prize @ TIMCCE one</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cash Prize of USD 250</li> <li>• Certificate- 2<sup>nd</sup> Prize</li> <li>• Certificate- Participation</li> <li>• Free Paper Publication in annual publication of DGEF named 'Energy for All' having ISBN No. 978-93-5265-482-6</li> </ul>
3	<b>3<sup>rd</sup> Prize @ TIMCCE one</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cash Prize of USD 175</li> <li>• Certificate- 3<sup>rd</sup> Prize</li> <li>• Certificate- Participation</li> <li>• Complimentary invitation as distinguished guest to join 4<sup>th</sup> TILA International Conference on Energy (TICE 4)</li> </ul>
4	<b>Best Lady Mooter @ TIMCCE one</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cash Prize of USD 100</li> <li>• Certificate- Best Lady Mooter</li> <li>• Certificate- Participation</li> <li>• Will be provided one month intensive Internship at TILA Head Office, New Delhi</li> </ul>
5	<b>Best Lady Researcher @ TIMCCE one</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cash Prize of USD 100</li> <li>• Certificate- Best Lady Researcher</li> <li>• Certificate- Participation</li> <li>• Will be provided one month intensive Internship at TILA Head Office, New Delhi</li> </ul>
6	<b>Faculty Advisor</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Certificate of distinguished Faculty Advisor for top three teams.</li> <li>• Complimentary invitation to become Associate member of International Energy Arbitration Centre (IEAC).</li> </ul>

Note:

- (1) The above may be revised upwardly on receipt of additional sponsorship.
- (2) All participating team members will get participation Certificates.
- (3) All Judges will get “Distinguished Judge @TIMCCE ONE” framed citation/ Souvenir.

**Framework of TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy Laws**

**[www.dgef.in](http://www.dgef.in)**

**(4) TILA INTERNATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION ON ENERGY LAWS (TIMCCE)**

Venue – Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan, INDIA

Date – 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> October

Ref No DGEF/Form 1

Date:

**PROVISIONAL REGISTRATION FORM FOR TIMCCE**

**Administrator**

**TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy (TIMCCE)**

JA-120, DLF Tower- A, Jasola District Centre

**NEW DELHI-110025**, INDIA

Email – [administrator@dgef.in](mailto:administrator@dgef.in)

Contact no. 8860635075/ 8588857374

Ph: 011-26943664 Fax: - 011 26970075

Sub: Provisional Registration of our college Team for TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy (TIMCCE)

Dear Sir/ Madam

We are interested to participate in the TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy Laws. Please register our Institutions team provisionally. Details of our Institution & Faculty In charge/Student In charge for Moot Court competition are as follows:-

S.NO.	Particulars	Details
1.	Name of Institution	
2.	Faculty In charge / Coordinator for Moot Court Competition (Please mention Email/ Mobile No. )	
3.	Student In charge / Coordinator for Moot Court Competition (Please mention Email/ Mobile No. )	

◊ We shall submit the TIMCCE registration form (Form 2) along with registration fees once our internal selection/nomination process is over.

(Authorized Signatory)

For any query please write to or speak to Sh. R.K. Tiwary Administrator (CC)  
Direct: 91-8860635075 / administrator@dgef.in

In addition to the above you can also escalate the matter or share your feedback & Suggestion with Mr. Raj Singh Niranjana Whatsapp: +91-9810070075 / rsn@dgef.in

Your Faculty In charge/ Student In charge may be added to Official Whatsapp Group "**TIMCCE Moot Court**" to keep you updated about this International Competition. You are requested to exit the group in case you do not desire updates.

**TILA INTERNATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION ON ENERGY LAWS  
(TIMCCE)**

Venue – Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan, INDIA

Date – 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> October

Ref No DGEF/Form 2

Date:

**TEAM REGISTRATION FORM FOR TIMCCE**

**Administrator**

**TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy (TIMCCE)**

JA-120, DLF Tower- A, Jasola District Centre

**NEW DELHI-110025**,INDIA

Email – [administrator@dgef.in](mailto:administrator@dgef.in)

Contact no. 8860635075/ 8588857374

Ph: 011-26943664 Fax: - 011 26970075

Sub: Registration of our college Team for TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy (TIMCCE)

Dear Sir/ Madam

We are interested to participate in the TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy Laws. Please register our Institutions team. Details of our Team are as under:-

S.NO	PARTICULARS	DETAILS
1.	#Name of the Institution/ College / University	
2.	#Address of the Institution/ College / University (Including Tel Number and Email of the single point of contact)	
3.	#1 <sup>st</sup> Speaker  (Name, Semester & Contact details including email and handheld no.)	
4.	#2 <sup>nd</sup> Speaker  (Name, Semester & Contact details including email and handheld no.)	

***Framework of TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy Laws***

[www.dgef.in](http://www.dgef.in)

5.	Researcher  (Name, Semester & Contact details including email and handheld No.)									
6.	Faculty Coordinator/Team Member (If any)  (Name & Contact details including email and handheld no.)									
7.	Translator/Team Member (if any)									
8.	#Amount Paid (Registration Fees)  <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Particulars</th> <th>Amount</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Schedule 1 /High Income Nations</td> <td>600 USD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Schedule 2/Upper Middle Income Nations</td> <td>350 USD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Schedule 3/Other Nations</td> <td>200 USD</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Particulars	Amount	Schedule 1 /High Income Nations	600 USD	Schedule 2/Upper Middle Income Nations	350 USD	Schedule 3/Other Nations	200 USD	
Particulars	Amount									
Schedule 1 /High Income Nations	600 USD									
Schedule 2/Upper Middle Income Nations	350 USD									
Schedule 3/Other Nations	200 USD									
9.	#Mode of payment/ Payment details									
10.	In case of any special requirement, please mention									

We have gone through the TIMCEE Rules provided at website [www.dgef.in](http://www.dgef.in). We undertake that we shall abide by the decision of the Administrator of TIMCEE.

Date:

**(Head of the Institution)**

Signature & Seal

**Notes for filling up the TIMCEE Registration Form:**

***Framework of TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy Laws***

**[www.dgef.in](http://www.dgef.in)**

1. Please fill all details in capital letters
2. The above entries marked as “ # ” are compulsory. Minimum two members of the Team are required for registration.
3. For any clarification with respect to Moot problem please write to administrator@dgef.in Or in alternative you can speak at Handheld No. +91 8860635075 / Landline No: +91-11-26943664/ +91-11-26970075
4. Options available for Registration/ Mode of Registration are as mentioned below:-

<b>Options of Registration</b>	<b>Mode of Registration</b>	<b>Particulars</b>
<b>Option One</b>	<b>Register Online at:</b>	<a href="http://www.dgef.in">www.dgef.in</a>
<b>Option Two</b>	<b>Complete registration form along with the Registration fee to be sent to:</b>	Mr. R. K. Tiwary Administrator (CC) TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy (TIMCCE) Dr. Gopal Energy Foundation JA-120, DLF Tower- A, Jasola District Centre, <u>NEW DELHI-110025</u>
<b>Option Three</b>	Email scanned copy filled in of the Registration form downloaded from <a href="http://www.dgef.in">www.dgef.in</a>	<a href="mailto:administrator@dgef.in">administrator@dgef.in</a> mark CC to rsn@dgef.in
<b>Option Four</b>	Whatsapp scanned copy filled in of the Registration form downloaded from <a href="http://www.dgef.in">www.dgef.in</a>	+91-9810070075

5. Payment options available (entry 8 & 9 of the Registration Form) are mentioned below:-

**PAYMENT OPTIONS**

<b>S. No.</b>	<b>MODE OF PAYMENTS</b>	<b>DETAILS</b>
1.	BY RTGS/ NEFT/ INTERNATIONAL WIRE TRANSFER	Dr. Gopal Energy Foundation, A/c No. 50200021957252, HDFC Bank, Branch Address- Plot No.-9, H & J Block, Local Shopping Centre, Sarita Vihar, New Delhi-110076, MICR Code-110240072, IFSC Code- HDFC0000480, Swift Code- HDFCINBBDEL

**Framework of TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy Laws**

[www.dgef.in](http://www.dgef.in)

2.	BY ECS/CASH DEPOSIT	Deposit Cash at any Branch of HDFC Bank across the India in favor of Dr. Gopal Energy Foundation, A/c No. 50200021957252.
3.	BY DEMAND DRAFT/CHEQUE	The Payment (Cheque / DD) should be drawn in Favour of Dr. Gopal Energy Foundation, A/c No. 50200021957252, Payable at New Delhi
4.	BY CREDIT CARD/ DEBIT CARD	Swapping Machine Available at- Dr. Gopal Energy Foundation, JA-120, 1 <sup>st</sup> Floor, DLF Tower-A, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi-110025
5.	BY PAYMENTS GATEWAY	<b>Pay Online through link-</b> <a href="http://dgef.in/timcce-online-registration/">http://dgef.in/timcce-online-registration/</a>

**Note:**

- **Payment Advice:** After deposit/transfer please send us the payment advice/reconciliation at our address and e-mail to us at [cfo@dgef.in](mailto:cfo@dgef.in)/ administrator@dgef.in
- **Issue Receipt-** After deposit/transfer the amount receipt shall be issued within 2 working days to the teams via Email.
- **PAN Card:** AACTD3633N
- **GSTIN.-** 07AACTD3633N1ZK

6. Your Faculty In charge/ Student In charge may to added to Official Whatsapp Group **“TIMCCE Moot Court”** to keep you updated about this International Competition. You are requested to exit the group in case you do not desire updates.

For any query please write to or speak to Sh. R.K. Tiwary Administrator (CC)  
Direct: 91-8860635075 / administrator@dgef.in

In addition to the above you can also escalate the matter or share your feedback & Suggestion with Mr. Raj Singh Niranjana Whatsapp: +91-9810070075 / rsn@dgef.in

**For Office Use:**

Date of receiving the Registration Form:-

Date of receiving the Payment advice/receipt:-

Registration No. for TIMCCE participation:-

Date of issue of Receipt by CFO along with Receipt No:-

Team No:-



**TILA INTERNATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION ON ENERGY LAWS  
(TIMCCE)**

Venue – Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan, INDIA

Date – 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> October

Ref No DGEF/Form 3

Date:

**REGISTRATION FEE WAIVER FORM**

**Administrator**

**TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy (TIMCCE)**

JA-120, DLF Tower- A, Jasola District Centre

**NEW DELHI-110025**, INDIA

Email – [administrator@dgef.in](mailto:administrator@dgef.in)

Contact no. 8860635075/ 8588857374

Ph: 011-26943664 Fax: - 011 26970075

Sub: Application for waiver of TIMCCE Registration fee for our Institutions Team participating in TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy (TIMCCE)

Dear Sir/ Madam

We request you to waive the TIMCCE Registration fees for our institution. The details as required are as follows:-

Name of the Institution									
Name of the Country									
Registration Fee (Circle One)	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Particulars</th> <th>Amount</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Schedule 1- High Income Nations</td> <td>600 USD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Schedule 2- Upper Middle Income Nations</td> <td>350 USD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Schedule 3- Other Nations</td> <td>200 USD</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>For Institution in India Schedule 3 is applicable</p>	Particulars	Amount	Schedule 1- High Income Nations	600 USD	Schedule 2- Upper Middle Income Nations	350 USD	Schedule 3- Other Nations	200 USD
Particulars	Amount								
Schedule 1- High Income Nations	600 USD								
Schedule 2- Upper Middle Income Nations	350 USD								
Schedule 3- Other Nations	200 USD								
<p>Has your Institute ever competed in the TIMCCE Competition?</p> <p>(If no and your school is located in a Schedule III country except for India, please skip to the signature portion of this application. Schedule III (Except Indian Institution since it is the host country) teams competing in the TIMCCE Competition for the first time will automatically receive a waiver of registration fees Please note that this waiver is limited to the team</p>									

participating for the first time)

Please describe the amount of support your team receives from the faculty and administration of your school (both in terms of advisory support and financial support) :

---

---

---

---

Have you requested funding from your Institute ?

If yes, what was the response ?

Name of Faculty Advisor (if any)

Email address of Faculty Advisor

Please describe the steps your team has taken (or will take) to obtain financial support from government agencies :

---

---

---

---

Please describe the steps your team has taken (or will take) to obtain financial support from the legal and business community :

---

---

---

---

Please describe the steps your team has taken (or will take) to obtain financial support from non-governmental and non-profit organizations:

---

---

---

---

How much money has your team raised (or does your team expect to raise) from each of the above sources ?

---

---

**Signature of Applicant Team Member :**

By signing below, you certify that the above information accurately represents to the best of your knowledge the financial position of your team and the efforts your team has taken to obtain funding.

**Name**  
(Please print)

**Signature**

**Date**

**Signature of Faculty Advisor and Dean of School :**

Please have your faculty advisor and dean sign this application. By signing below, the faculty advisor and dean certify that the school does not have funds available to pay the TIMCCE registration fee and that the TIMCCE Team has made a good faith effort to raise funds from other sources

**Name**  
(Please print)

**Signature**

**Date**

**Name**  
(Please print)

**Signature**

**Date**

Schedule I Country :  
Schedule II Countries :  
Schedule III Countries : All other countries.

**TILA INTERNATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION ON ENERGY LAWS  
(TIMCCE)**

Venue – Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan, INDIA

Date – 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> October

Ref No DGEF/Form 4

Date:

**CHANGE OF TEAM COMPOSITION FORM**

**Administrator**

**TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy (TIMCCE)**

JA-120, DLF Tower- A, Jasola District Centre

**NEW DELHI-110025**, INDIA

Email – [administrator@dgef.in](mailto:administrator@dgef.in)

Contact no. 8860635075/ 8588857374

Ph: 011-26943664 Fax: - 011 26970075

Sub: Application for Change of Team composition of our college participating in TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy (TIMCCE)

Dear Sir/ Madam

We request you to Change of Team composition of our Institution participating in TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy (TIMCCE). The details of our replacement are as under:-

S.NO	PARTICULARS	DETAILS
1.	#Name of the Institution/ College / University	
2.	Full Name of Team Member being replaced: Position (Oralist/Researcher)	
3.	New Team Member's Information: Full Name	
4.	Mobile Number: Email id:	
5.	Current degree	
6.	Position (Oralist/Researcher)	

7.	Signature:  Date:	
	In case of any special requirement, please mention	

We have gone through the TIMCEE Framework and Rules provided at website [www.dgef.in](http://www.dgef.in). We undertake that we shall abide by the decision of the Administrator of TIMCCE.

Date:

**(Head of the Institution)**  
Signature & Seal

**TILA INTERNATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION ON ENERGY LAWS  
(TIMCCE)**

Venue – Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan, INDIA

Date – 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> October

Ref No DGEF/Form 5

Date:

**JUDGE CONFIRMATION FORM**

**Administrator**

**TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy (TIMCCE)**

JA-120, DLF Tower- A, Jasola District Centre

**NEW DELHI-110025**, INDIA

Email – [administrator@dgef.in](mailto:administrator@dgef.in)

Contact no. 8860635075/ 8588857374

Ph: 011-26943664 Fax: - 011 26970075

Dear Administrator

I confirm to join TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy Laws (TIMCCE) @ Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan, INDIA as a Judge. My details are as under:-

S.NO.	PARTICULARS	DETAILS
1.	Full Name Mr./Ms./Dr./Prof.	
2.	Designation	
3.	Institution / Organization	
4.	Date of Birth	
5.	E-mail: wherein Minute to Minute program, Moot Problem, TIMCCE Brochure, TIMCCE Rules have to be shared	
7.	Land line Phone No.	
8.	Handheld / Mobile No	
9.	In case of any special requirements, please mention	

**(Signature)**

Note 1 Bio sketch / Profile is requested to enable us to introduce your good self)

Note 2 Completed Form to be sent to, Administrator, TILA International Moot Court Competition on Energy (TIMCCE) at the above mentioned address. Or in alternative Email scanned copy to [administrator@dgef.in](mailto:administrator@dgef.in)

Note 3 For any Enquiries, please contact: Mr. R. K. Tiwari, administrator (CC) Direct: +91-8860635075 / +91-11-26943664/+91-8588857374 [administrator@dgef.in](mailto:administrator@dgef.in)

Note 4 Please share your feedback & Suggestion with the Administrator: Sh. Raj Singh Niranjana Direct: +91-09810070075 / [rsn@dgef.in](mailto:rsn@dgef.in)

**For Office Use:**

Date of receiving the Form:-

Date of acknowledgement email sent: -.